



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1459  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,360	07/17/2003	Wolfgang Kalthoff	2058.213/US1	5356

50400 7590 08/14/2009

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/SAP  
P.O. BOX 2938  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/622,360	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KALTHOFF ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Thomas J. Dailey	<b>Art Unit</b> 2452	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 06 May 2009 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☒ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/Kenny S Lin/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): As to (2), this appeal involves claims 1, 5-6, and 12-87; the appealed claims are not limited to the independent claims 1, 20, 33, 40, 59, and 71, as stated in the appellant's appeal brief on page 4, under, "3. Status of the Claims."

As to (5), this appeal involves claims 1, 5-6, and 12-87, and therefore the "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on Appeal" should include the following:

Claims 1, 5, 15-18, 20-30, 33-34, 36-37, 39-50, 55-57, 59-69, 71-72, 74-75, and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al. (US Pat. 5,418,945), hereafter "Carter," in view of Hurvig (US Pat. 5,628,005).

Claims 12-14, 19, 31-32, 38, 51-53, 58, 70, and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter and Hurvig in view of Fabbio (US Pat. 5,335,346).

Claims 6, 35, 45, 73, 78-87, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter in view of Hurvig, as applied to claims 1, 20, 33, 40, 59, and 71, in further view of Sweeney et al (US Pat. 5,966,715), hereafter "Sweeney."

As to (6), the appeal brief does not present arguments under separate headings for each of the grounds of rejection presented in the Final Rejection dated 11/12/2008 and reiterated above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Dailey whose telephone number is 571-270-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday; 9:00am - 5:00pm..